


Lecture – 22

Date: 10.11.2016

- Multi-port networks
- Impedance and Admittance Matrix
- Matched, Lossless, and Reciprocal Networks

2-port Networks

- Requirement of Matrix Formulation



NO!!

What is the way?


Impedance or Admittance Matrix. Right?

In principle, N by N impedance matrix completely characterizes a linear N -port device. Effectively, the impedance matrix defines a multi-port device the way a Z_L describes a single port device (e.g., a load)

Linear networks can be completely characterized by parameters measured at the network ports without knowing the content of the networks.

Multiport Networks

- Networks can have any number of ports – however, analysis of a 2-port, 3-port or 4-port network is sufficient to explain the theory and the associated concepts.




- The ports can be characterized with many parameters (Z , Y , S , $ABCD$). Each has a specific advantage.
- For 2-port Network, each parameter set is related to 4 variables:
 - 2 independent variables for excitation
 - 2 dependent variables for response

The Impedance Matrix

- Let us consider the following 4-port network:

Four identical cables used to connect this network to the outside world

This could be a simple linear device or a large/complex linear system



Either way, the network can be fully described by its impedance matrix

Each cable has specific location that defines input impedances to the network

The arbitrary locations are known as ports of the network

The Impedance Matrix (contd.)

- In principle, the current and voltages at the port- n of networks are given as:

$$V_n(z_n = z_{nP}) \quad I_n(z_n = z_{nP})$$

- However, the simplified formulations are:

$$V_n = V_n(z_n = z_{nP}) \quad I_n = I_n(z_n = z_{nP})$$

- If we want to say that there exists a non-zero current at port-1 and zero current at all other ports then we can write as:

$$I_1 \neq 0 \quad I_2 = I_3 = I_4 = 0$$

- In order to define the elements of impedance matrix, there will be need to measure/determine the associated voltages and currents at the respective ports. Suppose, if we measure/determine current at port-1 and then voltage at port-2 then we can define:

$$Z_{21} = \frac{V_2}{I_1}$$

Trans-impedance

- Similarly, the trans-impedance parameters Z_{31} and Z_{41} are:

$$Z_{31} = \frac{V_3}{I_1}$$

$$Z_{41} = \frac{V_4}{I_1}$$

The Impedance Matrix (contd.)

- We can define other trans-impedance parameters such as Z_{34} as the ratio between the complex values I_4 (into port-4) and V_3 (at port-3), given that the currents at all other ports (1, 2, and 3) are zero.
- Therefore, the more generic form of trans-impedance is:


$$Z_{mn} = \frac{V_m}{I_n} \quad \text{(given that } I_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \neq n \text{)}$$

How do we ensure that all but **one port** current is zero?

The Impedance Matrix (contd.)

- Open the ports where the current needs to be zero

**The ports should be opened!
not the cables connected to
the ports**



- We can then define the respective trans-impedances as:

$$Z_{mn} = \frac{V_m}{I_n}$$

(given that all ports $k \neq n$ are open)

The Impedance Matrix (contd.)

- Once we have defined the trans-impedance terms by opening various ports, it is time to formulate the impedance matrix
- Since the network is **linear**, the **voltage at any port** due to **all the port currents** is simply the coherent **sum** of the voltage at that port due to **each** of the currents

- For example, the voltage at **port-3** is: $V_3 = Z_{34}I_4 + Z_{33}I_3 + Z_{32}I_2 + Z_{31}I_1$


- Therefore we can generalize the voltage for **N-port** network as: $V_m = \sum_{n=1}^N Z_{mn} I_n \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{I}$

- Where **I** and **V** are vectors given as: $\mathbf{V} = [V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_N]^T \quad \mathbf{I} = [I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots, I_N]^T$

- The term **Z** is matrix given by: $\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} & \dots & Z_{1n} \\ Z_{21} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ Z_{m1} & Z_{m2} & \dots & Z_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ **Impedance Matrix**

The Admittance Matrix

- Let us consider the 4-port network again:



This can be characterized using admittance matrix – if currents are taken as dependent variables instead of voltages

The elements of admittance matrix are called trans-admittance parameters Y_{mn}

The Admittance Matrix (contd.)

- The trans-admittances Y_{mn} are defined as:

$$Y_{mn} = \frac{I_m}{V_n}$$


(given that $V_k = 0$ for all $k \neq n$)

Important

$$Y_{mn} \neq \frac{1}{Z_{mn}}$$

- It is apparent that the voltage at all but one port must be equal to zero. This can be ensured by short-circuiting the voltage ports.

The ports should be short-circuited! not the TL connected to the ports



- Now, since the network is **linear**, the **current at any one port** due to **all the port voltages** is simply the coherent **sum** of the currents at that port due to **each** of the port voltages.

The Admittance Matrix (contd.)

- For example, the current at **port-3** is:
- Therefore we can generalize the current for **N-port** network as:
- Where **I** and **V** are vectors given as:

$$\mathbf{V} = [V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_N]^T$$

$$I_3 = Y_{34}V_4 + Y_{33}V_3 + Y_{32}V_2 + Y_{31}V_1$$

$$I_m = \sum_{n=1}^N Y_{mn} V_n$$



$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{YV}$$

$$\mathbf{I} = [I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots, I_N]^T$$

- The term **Y** is matrix given by:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & \dots & Y_{1n} \\ Y_{21} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ Y_{m1} & Y_{m2} & \dots & Y_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$



Admittance Matrix

- The values of elements in the admittance matrix are frequency dependents and often it is advisable to describe admittance matrix as:

$$\mathbf{Y}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11}(\omega) & Y_{12}(\omega) & \dots & Y_{1n}(\omega) \\ Y_{21}(\omega) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ Y_{m1}(\omega) & Y_{m2}(\omega) & \dots & Y_{mn}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Admittance Matrix (contd.)

You said that:

$$Y_{mn} \neq \frac{1}{Z_{mn}}$$

Is there any relationship between admittance and impedance matrix of a given device?



Answer: Let us see if we can figure it out!

- Recall that we can determine the inverse of a matrix. Denoting the matrix inverse of the admittance matrix as \mathbf{Y}^{-1} , we find:

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{YV}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{Y}^{-1}(\mathbf{YV}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{V} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{V}$$

- We also know:

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{ZI}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Y}^{-1} \quad \text{OR} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z}^{-1}$$

Reciprocal and Lossless Networks

- We can **classify** multi-port devices or networks as either **lossless** or **lossy**; **reciprocal** or **non-reciprocal**. Let's look at each classification individually.

Lossless Network

- A **lossless** network or device is simply one that **cannot** absorb power. This does **not** mean that the delivered power at **every port** is zero; rather, it means the total power flowing **into** the **device** must equal the total power **exiting** the **device**.
- A lossless device exhibits an impedance matrix with an interesting **property**. Perhaps not surprisingly, we find for a lossless device that the **elements** of its impedance matrix will be **purely reactive**:

$$\text{Re}(Z_{mn}) = 0$$

For a lossless device
- If the device is lossy, then the elements of the impedance matrix must have **at least** one element with a real (i.e., resistive) component.
- Furthermore, we can similarly say that if the elements of an **admittance** matrix are **all** purely imaginary (i.e., $\text{Re}\{Y_{mn}\} = 0$), then the device is lossless.

Reciprocal and Lossless Networks (contd.)

Reciprocal Network

- Ideally, most **passive, linear** microwave components will turn out to be **reciprocal**—regardless of whether the designer **intended** it to be or not!
- Reciprocity is a tremendously important characteristic, as it greatly **simplifies** an impedance or admittance matrix!
- Specifically, we find that a reciprocal device will result in a **symmetric** impedance and admittance **matrix**, meaning that:

$$Z_{mn} = Z_{nm}$$

$$Y_{mn} = Y_{nm}$$

For a reciprocal device

- For example, we find for a reciprocal device that $Z_{23} = Z_{32}$, and $Y_{12} = Y_{21}$.

Reciprocal and Lossless Networks (contd.)

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} j2 & 0.1 & j3 \\ -j & -1 & 1 \\ 4 & -2 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

neither
lossless nor
reciprocal

lossless,
but not
reciprocal

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} j2 & j0.1 & j3 \\ -j & -j1 & j1 \\ j4 & -j2 & j0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} j2 & -j & 4 \\ -j & -1 & -j2 \\ 4 & -j2 & j0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$


reciprocal,
but not
lossless

lossless
and
reciprocal

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} j2 & -j & j4 \\ -j & -j & -j2 \\ j4 & -j2 & j0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$


Example – 1

- determine the **Y matrix** of this two-port device.



Example – 1 (contd.)

Step-1: Place a **short** at port 2



Step-2: Determine currents I_1 and I_2

- Note that **after** the short was placed at port 2, both resistors are in **parallel**, with a potential V_1 across each

Therefore current I_1 is



$$I_1 = \frac{V_1}{2R} + \frac{V_1}{R} = \frac{3V_1}{2R}$$

- The current I_2 equals the portion of current I_1 through R but with opposite sign

$$I_2 = -\frac{V_1}{R}$$

Example – 1 (contd.)

Step-3: Determine the trans-admittances Y_{11} and Y_{21}

$$Y_{11} = \frac{I_1}{V_1} = \frac{3}{2R}$$

$$Y_{21} = \frac{I_2}{V_1} = -\frac{1}{R}$$

Note that Y_{21} is real and negative


This is **still** a valid physical result, **although** you will find that the **diagonal** terms of an impedance or admittance matrix (e.g., Y_{22} , Z_{11} , Y_{44}) will **always** have a real component that is **positive**

To find the **other two** trans-admittance parameters, we must **move** the short and then **repeat** each of our previous steps!

Example – 1 (contd.)

Step-1:

Place a **short** at port 1



Step-2: Determine currents I_1 and I_2

- Note that **after** a short was placed at port 1, resistor $2R$ has **zero** voltage across it—and thus **zero current** through it!

Therefore:

$$I_2 = \frac{V_2}{R}$$

$$I_1 = -I_2 = -\frac{V_2}{R}$$

Step-3:

Determine the trans-admittances Y_{12} and Y_{22}

$$Y_{12} = \frac{I_1}{V_2} = -\frac{1}{R}$$

$$Y_{22} = \frac{I_2}{V_2} = \frac{1}{R}$$


Therefore the admittance matrix is:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 3/2R & -1/R \\ -1/R & 1/R \end{bmatrix}$$

Is it lossless or reciprocal?

Example – 2

- Consider this circuit:



- Where the 3-port **device** is characterized by the **impedance matrix**:

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


- determine all port **voltages** V_1, V_2, V_3 and all **currents** I_1, I_2, I_3 .

Scattering Matrix

- At “**low**” frequencies, a **linear** device or network can be fully characterized using an **impedance or admittance** matrix, which relates the currents and voltages at **each** device terminal to the currents and voltages at **all** other terminals.
- But, at high frequencies, it is **not feasible** to measure total currents and voltages!
- Instead, we can measure the **magnitude** and **phase** of each of the two transmission line **waves** $V^+(z)$ and $V^-(z)$ → enables determination of relationship between the incident and reflected waves at **each** device terminal to the incident and reflected waves at **all** other terminals
- These relationships are completely represented by the **scattering matrix** that **completely** describes the behavior of a linear, multi-port device at a **given frequency** ω , and a given line impedance Z_0

Scattering Matrix (contd.)


Note that we have now characterized transmission line activity in terms of incident and “reflected” waves. The negative going “reflected” waves can be viewed as the waves **exiting** the multi-port network or device.



Viewing transmission line activity this way, we can fully characterize a multi-port device by its **scattering parameters!**


Scattering Matrix (contd.)

- Say there exists an **incident** wave on **port 1** (i.e., $V_1^+(z_1) \neq 0$), while the incident waves on all other ports are known to be **zero** (i.e., $V_2^+(z_2) = V_3^+(z_3) = V_4^+(z_4) = 0$).



Say we measure/determine the voltage of the wave flowing **into port 1**, at the port 1 plane (i.e., determine $V_1^+(z_1 = z_{1P})$).

Say we then measure/determine the voltage of the wave flowing **out of port 2**, at the port 2 plane (i.e., determine $V_2^-(z_2 = z_{2P})$).



The complex ratio between $V_1^+(z_1 = z_{1P})$ and $V_2^-(z_2 = z_{2P})$ is known as the **scattering parameter S_{21}**

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

Therefore:

$$S_{21} = \frac{V_2^-(z_2 = z_{2P})}{V_1^+(z_1 = z_{1P})} = \frac{V_2^- e^{+j\beta z_{2P}}}{V_1^+ e^{-j\beta z_{1P}}} = \frac{V_2^-}{V_1^+} e^{+j\beta(z_{2P} + z_{1P})}$$

Similarly:

$$S_{31} = \frac{V_3^-(z_3 = z_{3P})}{V_1^+(z_1 = z_{1P})}$$

$$S_{41} = \frac{V_4^-(z_4 = z_{4P})}{V_1^+(z_1 = z_{1P})}$$

- We of course could **also** define, say, scattering parameter S_{34} as the ratio between the complex values $V_3^-(z_3 = z_{3P})$ (the wave **out of** port 3) and $V_4^+(z_4 = z_{4P})$ (the wave **into** port 4), given that the input to all other ports (1, 2, and 3) are zero
- Thus, more **generally**, the ratio of the wave incident on port **n** to the wave emerging from port **m** is:

$$S_{mn} = \frac{V_m^-(z_m = z_{mP})}{V_n^+(z_n = z_{nP})}$$


$$V_k^+(z_k) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \neq n$$

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

- Note that, frequently the port positions are assigned a **zero** value (e.g., $z_{1p}=0$, $z_{2p}=0$). This of course **simplifies** the scattering parameter calculation:

$$S_{mn} = \frac{V_m^-(z_m = 0)}{V_n^+(z_n = 0)} = \frac{V_m^+ e^{+j\beta_0}}{V_n^- e^{-j\beta_0}} = \frac{V_m^+}{V_n^-}$$


- We will **generally assume** that the port locations are defined as $z_{np}=0$, and thus use the **above** notation. But **remember** where this expression came from!



Q: How do we ensure that **only one** incident wave is non-zero ?

A: **Terminate** all other ports with a **matched load!**

Scattering Matrix (contd.)



- Note that if the ports are terminated in a **matched load** (i.e., $Z_L = Z_0$), then $(\Gamma_0)_n = 0$ and therefore:

$$V_n^+(z_n) = 0$$

In other words, terminating a port ensures that there will be **no signal** incident on that port!

Scattering Matrix (contd.)



Just between you and me, I think you've messed this up! **In all** previous slides you said that if $\Gamma_0 = 0$, the wave in the **minus** direction would be zero:

$$V^-(z) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Gamma_0 = 0$$

but just **now** you said that the wave in the **positive** direction would be zero:


$$V^+(z) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Gamma_0 = 0$$

Obviously, there is **no way** that **both** statements can be correct!

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

Actually, **both** statements are correct! You must be careful to understand the **physical definitions** of the plus and minus directions—in other words, the propagation directions of waves $V_n^+(z_n)$ and $V_n^-(z_n)$!


For example, we **originally** analyzed this case:



In this original case, the wave **incident** on the load is $V^+(z)$ (**plus** direction), while the **reflected** wave is $V^-(z)$ (**minus** direction).

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

Contrast this with the case we are **now** considering:



- For this current case, the situation is **reversed**. The wave incident on the load is **now** denoted as $V_n^-(z_n)$ (coming **out** of port n), while the wave reflected off the load is **now** denoted as $V_n^+(z_n)$ (going **into** port n).

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

- **back** to our discussion of **S-parameters**. We found that **if** $z_{nP} = 0$ for all ports n , the scattering parameters could be directly written in terms of wave **amplitudes** V_n^+ and V_m^-

$$S_{mn} = \frac{V_m^-}{V_n^+} \quad V_k^+(z_k) = 0$$


for all $k \neq n$

- Which we can now **equivalently** state as:

$$S_{mn} = \frac{V_m^-}{V_n^+} \quad \text{(for all ports, except port } n, \text{ are terminated in matched loads)}$$

- One more **important** note—notice that for the ports terminated in matched loads (i.e., those ports with **no** incident wave), the voltage of the exiting **wave** is also the **total** voltage!

$$V_m(z_m) = V_m^+ e^{-j\beta z_m} + V_m^- e^{+j\beta z_m} = 0 + V_m^- e^{+j\beta z_m} = V_m^- e^{+j\beta z_m}$$



For all
 terminated
 ports!

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

- We can use the scattering matrix to determine the solution for a more **general** circuit—one where the ports are **not** terminated in matched loads!
- Since the device is **linear**, we can apply **superposition**. The output at any port due to **all** the incident waves is simply the coherent **sum** of the output at that port due to **each** wave!
- For example, the **output** wave at port 3 can be determined by (assuming $z_{nP} = 0$):
- More **generally**, the output at port m of an N -port device is:

$$V_3^- = S_{34}V_4^+ + S_{33}V_3^+ + S_{32}V_2^+ + S_{31}V_1^+$$

$$V_m^- = \sum_{n=1}^N S_{mn} V_n^+ \quad z_{nP} = 0$$

- This expression of Scattering parameter can be written in **matrix** form as:

$$V^- = SV^+$$

Scattering Matrix (contd.)

$$V^- = SV^+$$

Scattering Matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & \dots & S_{1n} \\ S_{21} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ S_{m1} & S_{m2} & \dots & S_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- The scattering matrix is N by N matrix that **completely characterizes** a linear, N-port device. Effectively, the scattering matrix describes a multi-port device the way that Γ_0 describes a single-port device (e.g., a load)!
- The values of the scattering matrix for a particular device or network, like Γ_0 , are **frequency dependent!** Thus, it may be more instructive to **explicitly** write:

$$S(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}(\omega) & S_{12}(\omega) & \dots & S_{1n}(\omega) \\ S_{21}(\omega) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ S_{m1}(\omega) & S_{m2}(\omega) & \dots & S_{mn}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$
- Also realize that—also just like Γ_0 —the scattering matrix is dependent on **both** the **device/network** and the Z_0 value of the **TL connected** to it.
- Thus, a device connected to transmission lines with $Z_0 = 50\Omega$ will have a **completely different scattering matrix** than that same device connected to transmission lines with $Z_0 = 100\Omega$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices

- A device can be **lossless** or **reciprocal**. In addition, we can also classify it as being **matched**.
- Let's examine **each** of these three characteristics, and how they relate to the **scattering matrix**.

Matched Device

A matched device is another way of saying that the **input impedance** at each port is **equal to Z_0** when **all other** ports are terminated in matched loads. As a result, the **reflection coefficient** of each port is **zero**—no signal will come out from a port if a signal is incident on that port (**but only that port!**).

- **In other words:** $V_m^- = S_{mm} V_m^+ = 0$ For all m \longrightarrow When all the ports 'm' are matched

- It is apparent that a matched device will exhibit a scattering matrix where all **diagonal elements** are **zero**.

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.1 & j0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0 & 0.3 \\ j0.2 & 0.3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

Lossless Device

- For a lossless device, all of the power that is delivered to each device port must eventually find its way **out!**
- In other words, power is not **absorbed** by the network—no power to be **converted to heat!**
- The **power incident** on some port m is related to the amplitude of the **incident wave** (V_m^+) as:
$$P_m^+ = \frac{|V_m^+|^2}{2Z_0}$$
- The power of the **wave exiting** the port is:
$$P_m^- = \frac{|V_m^-|^2}{2Z_0}$$
- power absorbed by that port is the **difference** of the incident power and reflected power:
$$\Delta P_m = P_m^+ - P_m^- = \frac{|V_m^+|^2}{2Z_0} - \frac{|V_m^-|^2}{2Z_0}$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

- For an N-port device, the total incident power is:

$$P^+ = \sum_{m=1}^N P_m^+ = \frac{1}{2Z_0} \sum_{m=1}^N |V_m^+|^2$$

$$|V_m^+|^2 = (\mathbf{V}^+)^H \mathbf{V}^+$$

$(\mathbf{V}^+)^H$ is the conjugate transpose of the row vector \mathbf{V}^+

$$P^+ = \sum_{m=1}^N P_m^+ = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^+)^H \mathbf{V}^+}{2Z_0}$$

Similarly, the total reflected power

$$P^- = \sum_{m=1}^N P_m^- = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^-)^H \mathbf{V}^-}{2Z_0}$$

- Recall that the incident and reflected wave amplitudes are **related** by the **scattering matrix** of the device as:

$$\mathbf{V}^- = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V}^+$$

- Therefore:

$$P^- = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^-)^H \mathbf{V}^-}{2Z_0} = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^+)^H \mathbf{S}^H \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V}^+}{2Z_0}$$


- Therefore the **total power delivered** to the N-port device is:

$$\Delta P = P^+ - P^- = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^+)^H \mathbf{V}^+}{2Z_0} - \frac{(\mathbf{V}^+)^H \mathbf{S}^H \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V}^+}{2Z_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta P = \frac{(\mathbf{V}^+)^H}{2Z_0} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}^H \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{V}^+$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

- For a lossless device: $\Delta P=0 \Rightarrow \frac{(V^+)^H}{2Z_0} (I - S^H S) V^+ = 0$  **For all V^+**

- Therefore: $I - S^H S = 0$  $\Rightarrow S^H S = I$ 
- a special kind of matrix known as a **unitary matrix** 

If a network is **lossless**, then its scattering matrix **S** is **unitary**

- How to recognize a unitary matrix?

 The **columns** of a unitary matrix form an **orthonormal set!**

Example:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} \\ S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

each **column** of the scattering matrix will have a **magnitude equal to one**

$$\sum_{m=1}^N |S_{mn}|^2 = 1 \quad \text{For all } n$$

inner product (i.e., dot product) of **dissimilar columns** must be **zero**

dissimilar columns
are orthogonal

$$\sum_{m=1}^N S_{mi} S_{mj}^* = S_{1i} S_{1j}^* + S_{2i} S_{2j}^* + \dots + S_{Ni} S_{Nj}^* = 0 \quad \text{For all } i \neq j$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

- For example, for a lossless **three-port** device: say a signal is incident on port 1, and that **all** other ports are **terminated**. The power **incident** on port 1 is therefore:

$$P_1^+ = \frac{|V_1^+|^2}{2Z_0}$$

- and the power **exiting** the device at each port is:

$$P_m^- = \frac{|V_m^-|^2}{2Z_0} = \frac{|S_{m1}V_1^+|^2}{2Z_0} = |S_{m1}|^2 P_1^+$$

- The **total** power exiting the device is therefore:

$$P^- = P_1^- + P_2^- + P_3^- = |S_{11}|^2 P_1^+ + |S_{21}|^2 P_1^+ + |S_{31}|^2 P_1^+$$

$$\Rightarrow P^- = (|S_{11}|^2 + |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2) P_1^+$$

- Since this device is **lossless**, then the incident power (**only on port 1**) is **equal** to exiting power (i.e, $P^- = P_1^+$). This is true **only if**:

$$|S_{11}|^2 + |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 = 1$$

- Of course, this will be true if the incident wave is placed on **any** of the **other** ports of this lossless device:

$$|S_{12}|^2 + |S_{22}|^2 + |S_{32}|^2 = 1$$

$$|S_{13}|^2 + |S_{23}|^2 + |S_{33}|^2 = 1$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

- We can state in general then that: $\sum_{m=1}^N |S_{mn}|^2 = 1$ For all n
- In other words, the columns of the scattering matrix must have **unit magnitude** (a requirement of all **unitary** matrices). It is apparent that this must be true for energy to be conserved.

- An **example** of a (unitary) scattering matrix for a 4-port **lossless** device is:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & j\sqrt{3}/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & j\sqrt{3}/2 \\ j\sqrt{3}/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & j\sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Reciprocal Device

- Recall **reciprocity** results when we build a **passive** (i.e., unpowered) device with **simple** materials.
- For a reciprocal network, we find that the elements of the scattering matrix are **related** as:

$$S_{mn} = S_{nm}$$

Matched, Lossless, Reciprocal Devices (contd.)

- For example, a **reciprocal** device will have $S_{21} = S_{12}$ or $S_{32} = S_{23}$. We can write reciprocity in matrix form as:

$$\boxed{S^T = S} \quad \text{where T indicates transpose.}$$

- An **example** of a scattering matrix describing a **reciprocal**, but **lossy** and **non-matched** device is:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0.10 & -0.40 & -j0.20 & 0.05 \\ -0.40 & j0.20 & 0 & j0.10 \\ -j0.20 & 0 & 0.10 - j0.30 & -0.12 \\ 0.05 & j0.10 & -0.12 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example – 3

- A **lossless, reciprocal** 3-port device has S-parameters of $S_{11} = 1/2$, $S_{31} = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and $S_{33} = 0$. It is likewise known that all scattering parameters are **real**.

→ Find the remaining **6** scattering parameters.



Q: This problem is clearly **impossible**—you have not provided us with sufficient **information!**

A: Yes I have! Note I said the device was **lossless** and **reciprocal!**

Example – 3 (contd.)

- Start with what we **currently** know:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & S_{12} & S_{13} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & S_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- As the device is **reciprocal**, we then also know:

$$S_{12} = S_{21}$$

$$S_{13} = S_{31} = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

$$S_{32} = S_{23}$$

- And therefore:


$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & S_{21} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{32} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & S_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Now, since the device is **lossless**, we know that:

$$|S_{11}|^2 + |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad (1/2)^2 + |S_{21}|^2 + (1/\sqrt{2})^2 = 1$$

$$|S_{12}|^2 + |S_{22}|^2 + |S_{32}|^2 = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{22}|^2 + |S_{32}|^2 = 1$$

$$|S_{13}|^2 + |S_{23}|^2 + |S_{33}|^2 = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad (1/2)^2 + |S_{32}|^2 + (1/\sqrt{2})^2 = 1$$



Example – 3 (contd.)

$$0 = S_{11}S_{12}^* + S_{21}S_{22}^* + S_{31}S_{32}^* = \frac{1}{2}S_{12}^* + S_{21}S_{22}^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}S_{32}^*$$

$$0 = S_{11}S_{13}^* + S_{21}S_{23}^* + S_{31}S_{33}^* = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + S_{21}S_{32}^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0)$$

$$0 = S_{12}S_{13}^* + S_{22}S_{23}^* + S_{32}S_{33}^* = S_{21}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + S_{22}S_{32}^* + S_{32}(0)$$



We can simplify these expressions and can further simplify them by using the fact that the elements are all **real**, and therefore $S_{21} = S_{21}^*$ (etc.).



Q: I count the simplified expressions and find 6 equations yet only a paltry 3 unknowns. Your typical buffoonery appears to have led to an over-constrained condition for which there is **no** solution!

Example – 3 (contd.)

A: Actually, we have **six** real equations and **six** real unknowns, since scattering element has a magnitude and phase. In this case we know the values are **real**, and thus the phase is either 0° or 180° (i.e., $e^{j0} = 1$ or $e^{j\pi} = -1$); however, we do not know which one!

- the scattering matrix for the given **lossless, reciprocal** device is:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$