


## **Lecture – 17**

**Date: 14.10.2014**


- Power Dividers and Couplers
- Basic Properties
- Power Divider – Design Aspect
- Circulator

## Power Dividers and Couplers

- One of the most fundamental problems in RF/microwave engineering is how to efficiently **divide** signal power.



- The **simplest** RF/microwave problem would seemingly be to **equally** divide signal power in two:



However, building these devices is **more difficult** than you might think!

## **Basic Properties of Couplers and Dividers**

First let's examine four-port networks called **directional couplers**, and explain some fundamental values that characterize them

## Directional Coupler

- A **directional coupler** is a 4-port network that is designed to **divide** and **distribute** power.
- Although this would seem to be a particularly **mundane** and simple task, these devices are both very **important** in high frequency systems, and at the same time very **difficult** to design and construct.
- Two of the **reasons** for this difficulty are our desire for the device to be:
  1. **Matched**
  2. **Lossless**

Thus, we require a **matched, lossless**, and (to make it simple) **reciprocal** 4-port device!

Recall that a matched, lossless, reciprocal, 4-port device was difficult to even **mathematically** determine, as the resulting scattering matrix must be (among other things) **unitary**.

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- However, we were able to determine two possible mathematical solutions, which we called the **symmetric** and **asymmetric** solutions respectively:

Symmetric

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & j\beta & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & j\beta \\ j\beta & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & j\beta & \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Asymmetric

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & -\beta \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & -\beta & \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- For both cases, the relationship  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$  must be true in order for the device to be lossless (i.e, for **S** to be unitary)
- For most couplers it can be found that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can (at least ideally) be represented by a real value **c**, known as the **coupling coefficient**.


$$\beta = c$$

$$\alpha = \sqrt{1 - c^2}$$

## Directional Coupler (contd.)


- The **symmetric** solution is thus described as:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1-c^2} & jc & 0 \\ \sqrt{1-c^2} & 0 & 0 & jc \\ jc & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-c^2} \\ 0 & jc & \sqrt{1-c^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- And the **asymmetric** solution is described as:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1-c^2} & c & 0 \\ \sqrt{1-c^2} & 0 & 0 & -c \\ c & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-c^2} \\ 0 & -c & \sqrt{1-c^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- Additionally, for a directional coupler, the coupling coefficient  $c$  will be **always** less than  $1/\sqrt{2}$ . Therefore, we can express:

$$0 \leq c \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \sqrt{1-c^2} \leq 1$$

- Let's see what this means in terms of the **physical behavior** of a directional coupler.
- First, consider the case where some signal is incident on **port 1**, with power  $P_1^+$ .

- If all other ports are matched, the power flowing out of **port 1** is:

$$P_1^- = |S_{11}|^2 P_1^+ = 0^2 * P_1^+ = 0$$

- While the power out of **port 2** is:

$$P_2^- = |S_{21}|^2 P_1^+ = (1-c^2)P_1^+$$

- and the power out of **port 3** is:


$$P_3^- = |S_{31}|^2 P_1^+ = c^2 P_1^+$$

- Finally, we find there is **no power** flowing out of **port 4**:

$$P_4^- = |S_{41}|^2 P_1^+ = 0^2 * P_1^+ = 0$$

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- In the terminology of the directional coupler, we say that **port 1** is the **input** port, **port 2** is the **through** port, **port 3** is the **coupled** port, and **port 4** is the **isolation** port




Note however, that **any** of the coupler ports can be an input, with a **different through, coupled and isolation** port for each case



## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- For example, if a signal is incident on **port 2**, while all other ports are matched, we find that:



- Thus, from the scattering matrix of a directional coupler, we can form the following table:

Input	Through	Coupled	Isolation
Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4
Port 2	Port 1	Port 4	Port 3
Port 3	Port 4	Port 1	Port 2
Port 4	Port 3	Port 2	Port 1

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- **Typically**, the coupling coefficients for a directional coupler are in the range of approximately:

$$0.25 > c^2 > 0.0001$$


- As a result, we find that:

$$\sqrt{1 - c^2} \approx 1$$

What this means is that the power out of the **through** port is just **slightly smaller** (typically) than the power incident on the input port

Similarly, the power out of the **coupling** port is typically a **small fraction** of the power incident on the input port

## Directional Coupler (contd.)



**Q:** Pfft! **Coupling Port Power**, Just a **small fraction** of the input power! What is the use in doing that??

**A:** A directional coupler is often used for **sampling** a small portion of the signal power. For example, we might **measure** the output power of the **coupled** port (e.g.,  $P_3^-$ ) and then we can determine the amount of signal power flowing through the device (e.g.,  $P_1^+ = P_3^-/c^2$ ).

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

Unfortunately, the **ideal** directional coupler **cannot** be built! For example, the input match is never **perfect**, so that the diagonal elements of the scattering matrix, although **very small**, are not zero.

Similarly, the isolation port is never **perfectly** isolated, so that the values  $S_{41}$ ,  $S_{32}$ ,  $S_{23}$  and  $S_{14}$  are also non-zero—some **small** amount of power leaks out!

As a result, the through port will be **slightly less** than the value  $\sqrt{1 - c^2}$ . The scattering matrix for a **non-ideal coupler** would therefore look like as:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{21} & jc & S_{41} \\ S_{21} & S_{11} & S_{41} & jc \\ jc & S_{41} & S_{11} & S_{21} \\ S_{41} & jc & S_{21} & S_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

From **this** scattering matrix, we can extract **some important parameters** about directional couplers

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

### Coupling Coefficient, C

The **coupling coefficient** is the ratio of the coupled output power ( $P_3^-$ ) to the input power ( $P_1^+$ ), expressed in decibels as:

$$C(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_3^-}{P_1^+} \right] = -10 \log_{10} |jc|^2$$



This is the **primary** specification of a directional coupler!

- Note the **larger** the coupling value, the **smaller** the coupled power! For example:
  - A **6 dB** coupler couples out **25%** of the input power
  - A **10 dB** coupler couples out **10%** of the input power
  - A **20 dB** coupler couples out **1.0%** of the input power
  - A **30 dB** coupler couples out **0.1%** of the input power

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

### Directivity, D

The **directivity** is the ratio of the power **out** of the coupling port ( $P_3^-$ ) to the power **out** of the isolation port ( $P_4^-$ ), expressed in decibels.

$$D(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_3^-}{P_4^-} \right] = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{|j_c|^2}{|S_{41}|^2} \right]$$

This value indicates how effective the device is in “**directing**” the coupled energy into the correct port (i.e., into the coupled port, **not** the isolation port)

**Ideally** this is infinite (i.e.,  $P_4^- = 0$ ), so the **higher** the directivity, the **better**

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

### Isolation, I

**Isolation** is the ratio of the **input power** ( $P_1^+$ ) to the power out of the **isolation port** ( $P_4^-$ ), expressed in decibels.

$$I(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_1^+}{P_4^-} \right] = -10 \log_{10} \left[ |S_{41}|^2 \right]$$

This value indicates how “isolated” the isolation port actually is. **Ideally** this is infinite (i.e.,  $P_4^- = 0$ ), so the **higher** the isolation, the better

- Note that **isolation**, **directivity**, and **coupling** are **not** independent values! **You** should be able to quickly show that:

$$I(dB) = C(dB) + D(dB)$$

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

### Mainline Loss, ML

The **mainline loss** is the ratio of the **input** power ( $P_1^+$ ) to the power out of the **through** port ( $P_2^-$ ), expressed in decibels.

$$ML(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_1^+}{P_2^-} \right] = -10 \log_{10} \left[ |S_{21}|^2 \right]$$

It indicates how much power the signal **loses** as it travels from the input to the through port

### Coupling Loss, CL

The **coupling loss** indicates the **portion** of the mainline loss that is due to coupling some of the input power into the coupling port.

$$CL(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_1^+}{P_1^+ - P_3^-} \right] = -10 \log_{10} \left[ 1 - |jc|^2 \right]$$



## Directional Coupler (contd.)

$$CL(dB) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{P_1^+}{P_1^+ - P_3^-} \right] = -10 \log_{10} [1 - |jc|^2]$$

Conservation of energy conveys that  
this loss is **unavoidable**

- Note this value can be **very small**, for example:
  - The coupling loss of a **10dB** coupler is **0.44 dB**
  - The coupling loss of a **20dB** coupler is **0.044 dB**
  - The coupling loss of a **30dB** coupler is **0.0044 dB**

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

### Insertion Loss, IL

**Q:** But wait, shouldn't  $(P_1^+ - P_3^-) = P_2^-$ , meaning the coupling loss and the mainline loss will be the **same exact value**?

**A: Ideally** this would be true.


- But, the reality is that couplers are **not perfectly lossless**, so there will additionally be loss due to **absorbed** energy (i.e., heat). This loss is called **insertion loss** and is simply the **difference** between the mainline loss and coupling loss:

$$IL(dB) = ML(dB) - CL(dB)$$

The insertion loss thus indicates the portion of the mainline loss that is **not** due to coupling some input power to the coupling port. This insertion loss **is** avoidable, and thus the **smaller** the insertion loss, the better.

## Directional Coupler (contd.)

- For couplers with **very small coupling** coefficients (e.g.,  $C(\text{dB}) > 20$ ) the coupling loss is so small that the mainline loss is almost entirely due to insertion loss (i.e.,  $ML = IL$ )  $\rightarrow$  often then, the two terms are used **interchangeably**.



<http://paginas.fe.up.pt/~hmiranda/etele/microstrip/>

## The T – Junction Power Divider

- Three-port couplers are also known as **T – Junction Couplers**, or **T – Junction Dividers**.
- Let us say that we desire a **matched** and **lossless** 3-port coupler.



Wait a minute! You already told that a matched, lossless, reciprocal **3-port** device of **any** kind is a **physical impossibility!**

Absolutely true! Our desire in this case will be **unfulfilled**.  
There are, however, a few designs that come **close**.


## The T – Junction Power Divider (contd.)

1. **The Lossless Divider** – As the name states, this divider is lossless. It is likewise reciprocal, and thus is **not matched**.
2. **The Resistive Divider** – As the name implies, this divider is **lossy**. However, it is both matched and reciprocal.
3. **The Circulator** – This three-port divider is both matched and (ideally) lossy. This of course means that it is **not reciprocal!**
4. **The Wilkinson Divider** - Like the resistive divider, it is matched and reciprocal, and thus is **lossy**. However, it is lossy in a way that is not apparent when power is **divided** (i.e., power can be divided **without loss**).

As a result, the Wilkinson Power Divider is in most ways as **ideal** a T-junction as there is. Accordingly, it has its very **own importance** in RF/microwave applications !

## The Resistive Divider

- Let us consider the following **resistive power divider**:



This symmetric power divider will be matched at **port 1** if  $R$  is selected as:

$$Z_0 = R + (R + Z_0) \parallel (R + Z_0)$$

$$R = \frac{Z_0}{3}$$

Condition for port 1 to be matched


## The Resistive Divider (contd.)

- From the **symmetry** of the circuit, we find that all the **other** ports will be matched as well (i.e.,  $S_{11} = S_{22} = S_{33} = 0$ ).
- Furthermore, it can be shown that:

$$S_{12} = S_{21} = S_{13} = S_{31} = S_{23} = S_{32} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Note the magnitude of each column is less than one. e.g.:

$$|S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} < 1$$



Therefore this power divider is **lossy!**


- In fact, we find that the power out of each port is just **one quarter** of the input power:

$$P_2^- = P_3^- = (P_1^+ / 4)$$

In other words, **half** the input power is **absorbed** by the divider!


## The Lossless Divider

- Now let us consider the following **lossless power divider**:



To be ideal, we want  $S_{11} = 0$ . Thus, when **ports 2** and **port 3** are **terminated** in matched loads, the input impedance at **port 1** ( $Z_{01}$ ) must be related to impedances  $Z_{02}$  and  $Z_{03}$  as:

$$Z_{01} = \left( \frac{1}{Z_{02}} + \frac{1}{Z_{03}} \right)^{-1} = \frac{Z_{02}Z_{03}}{Z_{02} + Z_{03}}$$



Note, however, that this circuit configuration is **not** symmetric, thus we find that  $S_{22} \neq 0$  and  $S_{33} \neq 0$  !



## The Lossless Divider (contd.)

- As the divider is **lossless** (no resistive components), we can write:

$$P_1^+ = P_2^- + P_3^-$$

where  $P_1^+$  is the power incident (and absorbed if  $S_{11} = 0$ ) on port 1, and  $P_2^-$  and  $P_3^-$  is the power absorbed by the matched loads of ports 2 and 3.

- Unless  $Z_{02} = Z_{03}$ , the power will not divide equally between  $P_2^-$  and  $P_3^-$ . With a little high frequency circuit analysis, it can be shown that the **division ratio  $k$**  is:

$$k = \frac{P_2^-}{P_3^-} = \frac{Z_{03}}{Z_{02}}$$

- Thus, if we desire an **ideal** ( $S_{11} = 0$ ) divider with a specific division ratio  $k$ , we will find that:


$$Z_{02} = Z_{01} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

$$Z_{03} = Z_{01} (1 + k)$$

## The Lossless Divider (contd.)

**Q:** I don't understand how this is helpful. Don't we typically want the characteristic impedance of all three ports to be equal to the **same** value (e.g.,  $Z_{01} = Z_{02} = Z_{03} = Z_0$ )?

**A:** True ! A more practical way to implement this divider is to use a **matching network**, such as a quarter wave transformer, on ports 2 and 3:



But beware! Recall that this matching network will work perfectly at only **one** frequency.

This lossless divider has a scattering matrix (at the design frequency) of this form:


$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -j/\sqrt{2} & -j/\sqrt{2} \\ -j/\sqrt{2} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ -j/\sqrt{2} & S_{32} & S_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

## The Lossless Divider (contd.)

- Where the (non-zero!) values of  $S_{22}$ ,  $S_{23}$ ,  $S_{32}$ , and  $S_{33}$  depend on the division ratio  $k$ .
- Note that if we desire a **3 dB** divider (i.e.,  $k = 1$ ), then:

$$Z_{02} = Z_{03} = 2Z_{01}$$

- This **3dB** lossless divider (where  $Z_{02} = Z_{03} = 2Z_{01}$ ), would have this design:




## Circulators

- Circulator is a matched, lossless but **non-reciprocal 3-port** device, whose scattering matrix is **ideally**:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

SFG 




- Circulators use anisotropic **ferrite materials**, which are often “biased” by a permanent magnet! → The result is a **nonreciprocal** device!
- First, we note that for a circulator, the power incident on port 1 will exit **completely from port 2**:

$$P_2^- = P_1^+$$

## Circulators (contd.)




Pardon me while I feign **ignorance**. This **unremarkable** behavior is likewise true for the simple circuit below, which requires just a length of **transmission line**. Oh please, **continue** to waste our valuable time.



Is this also a circulator?

## Circulators (contd.)

- True! But a transmission line, being a **reciprocal device**, will likewise result in the power **incident on port 2** of your simple circuit to **exit completely from port 1** ( $P_1^- = P_2^+$ ):



But, this is **not true for a circulator!** If power is incident on port 2, then **no power will exit port 1!**

## Circulators (contd.)




**Q:** You have been surprisingly successful in regaining my interest. Please tell us then, just **where does** the power incident on port 2 go?

**A:** It will exit from port 3 !

Likewise, power flowing into **port 3 will exit—port 1!**

It is evident, then how the circulator gets its **name: power** appears to **circulate around the device**, a behavior that is emphasized by its device symbol

## Circulators (contd.)




We can see that, for example, a **source at port 2** “thinks” it is attached to a **load at port 3**, while a **load at port 2** “thinks” it is attached to a **source at port 1**!



## Circulators (contd.)

- These type of behavior is useful, for example, when we want to use **one antenna as both** the transmitter and receiver antenna. The transmit antenna (i.e., the load) at port 2 **gets its power from the transmitter at port 1. However, the receive antenna (i.e., the source) at port 2 delivers its power to the receiver at port 3!**




It is **particularly important to keep the transmitter power from getting to the receiver. To accomplish this, the antenna must be matched to the transmission line. Do you see why?**

## **Circulators (contd.)**

- It is important that we should note some major **drawbacks of a circulator**:
  - 1. They're expensive.**
  - 2. They're heavy.**
  - 3. They generally produce a large, static magnetic field.**
  - 4. They typically exhibit a large insertion loss (e.g.,  $|S_{21}|^2 = |S_{32}|^2 = |S_{13}|^2 \approx 0.75$ ).**

## The (Nearly) Ideal T- Junction Power Divider

- Recall that we **cannot build a matched, lossless reciprocal three-port device.**
- So, let's **mathematically try and determine the scattering matrix** of the best possible T-junction 3 dB **power divider.**



- To **efficiently divide the power incident on the input port**, the port (port 1) must first be **matched (i.e., all incident power should be delivered to port 1)**:  $S_{11} = 0$

- Likewise, this delivered power to port 1 must be divided efficiently (i.e., **without loss**) **between ports 2 and 3.**
- Mathematically, this means that the first column of the scattering matrix must have **magnitude of 1.0**:

$$|S_{11}|^2 + |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 = 1 \quad \xrightarrow{S_{11} = 0} \quad |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 = 1$$

## The (Nearly) Ideal T- Junction Power Divider (contd.)

- Provided that we wish to evenly divide the input power, we can conclude from the expression above that:

$$|S_{21}|^2 = |S_{31}|^2 = 1/2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad |S_{21}| = |S_{31}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

- Note that **this device would take the power into port 1 and divide into two equal parts—half exiting port 2, and half exiting port3 (provided ports 2 and 3 are terminated in matched loads!)**.

$$P_2^- = |S_{21}|^2 P_1^+ = 0.5P_1^+ \qquad P_3^- = |S_{31}|^2 P_1^+ = 0.5P_1^+$$

- In addition, it is **desirable that ports 2 and 3 be matched** (the whole device is thus matched):

$$S_{22} = S_{33} = 0$$

- And also **desirable that ports 2 and 3 be isolated:**


$$S_{23} = S_{32} = 0$$

This last requirement ensures that no signal incident on port 2 (e.g., reflected from a load) will “leak” into port 3—and vice versa.

## The (Nearly) Ideal T- Junction Power Divider (contd.)

- The ideal 3 dB power divider could therefore have the form:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -j/\sqrt{2} & -j/\sqrt{2} \\ -j/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -j/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Since we can describe this ideal power divider **mathematically**, we can potentially build it physically!


**Q:** Huh!? I thought you said that a matched, lossless, reciprocal three-port device is impossible?

**A:** It is! This divider is clearly a lossy device. The magnitudes of both column 2 and 3 are less than one:

$$|S_{12}|^2 + |S_{22}|^2 + |S_{32}|^2 = \left| -j/\sqrt{2} \right|^2 + 0 + 0 = 0.5 < 1$$

$$|S_{13}|^2 + |S_{23}|^2 + |S_{33}|^2 = \left| -j/\sqrt{2} \right|^2 + 0 + 0 = 0.5 < 1$$

## The (Nearly) Ideal T- Junction Power Divider (contd.)



Note then that **half the power incident on port 2 (or port 3)** of this device would **exit port 1 (i.e., reciprocity)**, but **no power** would exit port 3 (port2), since ports 2 and 3 are **isolated. i.e.,**

$$P_1^- = |S_{12}|^2 P_2^+ = 0.5P_2^+$$

$$P_3^- = |S_{32}|^2 P_2^+ = 0 * P_2^+ = 0$$

$$P_1^- = |S_{13}|^2 P_3^+ = 0.5P_3^+$$

$$P_2^- = |S_{23}|^2 P_3^+ = 0 * P_3^+ = 0$$

**Q:** Any ideas on how to build this thing?

**A:** Note that the first column of the scattering matrix is precisely the same as that of the **lossless 3 dB divider**.

Also note that since the device is **lossy**, the **design must** include some **resistors**.

**Lossless Divider + resistors = The Wilkinson Power Divider**



**Topic of our next lecture!**