CSE322 Theory of Computation (L15)

Today
Turing Machines

The Turing Machine !!!


TM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { DEA }+++++ \\
& =\text { PD }+++++
\end{aligned}
$$

https://www.youtube.com/watch? $v=E 3 k e L e M w f H Y$
Churh-Turing(-Post) Hypothesis:
All reasonable models of (general-purpose) computers are equivalent.
In particular, they are equivalent to a Turing machine.
$\square$


Input Alph (does not contain blank symbol) $\sum$ Tape Alph (contains blank symbol and all input Syphons $\Gamma$ transition $f n d:(Q \times \Gamma) \rightarrow(Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\})$ start state q0, accept state qa, reject state qr $>$
If head is on the leftmost cell and $d()$ specifies a left move, head does not move.

|  | a | b | \$ | $\checkmark$ | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $\left(q_{1}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{6}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{5}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | reject | reject |
| $q_{1} a_{1} 1$ | $\left(q_{1}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{1}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, \$, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | reject | reject |
| $q_{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{2}$ | $\left(q_{4}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{L}\right)$ | reject | reject | reject | $\left(q_{2}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ |
| $q_{3}$ | $\left(q_{3}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{L}\right)$ | $\left(q_{3}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~L}\right)$ | reject | reject | $\left(q_{0}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ |
| $q_{4}$ | reject | re ject | $\left(q_{3}, \$, \mathrm{~L}\right)$ | reject | $\left(q_{4}, x, \mathrm{~L}\right)$ |
| $q_{5}$ | reject | reject | reject | $\left(q_{\text {acc }}, \sqcup, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{5}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ |
| $q_{6} b_{1}$ | $\left(q_{6}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{6}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | $\left(q_{7}, \$, \mathrm{R}\right)$ | reject | reject |
| $q_{7} b_{2}$ | reject | $\left(q_{4}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{L}\right)$ | re ject | re ject | $\left(q_{7}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ |
| $q_{\text {acc }}$ | No need to define |  |  |  |  |
| $q_{\text {rej }}$ | No need to define |  |  |  |  |



1. Cross off the first character a or b in the input (i.e. replace it with x , where x is some special character)) and remember what it was (by encoding the character in the current state). Let $u$ denote this character.
2. Move right until we see a $\$$.
3. Read across any x's.
4. Read the character (not x ) on the tape. If this character is different from $u$, then it immediately rejects.
5. Cross off this character, and replace it by x .
6. Move left past the $\$$ and then keep going until we see an x on the tape.
7. Move one position right and go back to the first step.
$\{w: w$ is a string over 0 whose length is a power of 2$\}$
TM $(w)$ :
8. Make the first cell blank.
9. Move right until blank is reached. While moving right:
a. Cross alternate 0
10. When blank is reached,
a. If tape contains a single 0 , go to qa
b. If tape contains odd number (3 or more) of Os, go to qr
c. Else, move left until a dotted cell is found. Goto 2.


Configuration (instant. description): u.q.v

$u$ : tape left of head to first non-blank symbol
$v$ : tape from head to last non-blank symbol
$q$ : state
yields
Define $\begin{cases}\text { ua.qi.bv } \rightarrow \text { u.qj.acv } & \text { if } d\left(q_{i}, b\right)=\left(q_{j}, c, L\right) \\ \text { ua.qi.bv } \rightarrow \text { uac.qj.v } & \text { if } d\left(q_{i}, b\right)=\left(q_{j}, c, R\right)\end{cases}$

Initial configuration on input w.

$$
q_{0} w
$$


$u q_{j} a c v$
Accepting config. $\Rightarrow$ state would be $q_{a}$.
$\{w: w$ is a string over 0 whose length is a power of 2$\}$
TM $(w)$ :

1. Make the first cell blank.
2. Move right until blank is reached. While moving right:
a. Cross alternate 0
3. When blank is reached,
a. If tape contains a single 0 , go to qa
b. If tape contains odd number (3 or more) of Os, go to qr
c. Else, move left until a dotted cell is found. Goto 2.


Maccepts $w$ if ...
there exists a sequence of configurations $C 1 \subset 2 \ldots C_{k}$ s.t.
pow

1. $C 1$ is the starting config. of $M$ on input $w$
2. Each $C(i)$ yields $C(i+1)$
3. $C_{k}$ is an accepting configuration

Similarly, M rejects $w$ if ...
$M$ is called a decider if $M$ always halts on any input.
$L=\{\omega: M$ accepts $\omega\}$
$\star L$ is (Turing)-recognizable (or recursively enumerable) if there is some TMM s.t. for all $w$ in $L, M(w)$ halts and accepts. $\star L$ is (Turing )-decidable (or recursive) if there is some $T M M$ s.t. $M$ always halts \& for all $w$ in $L, M(w)$ accepts. $\star L(M)=\{w: M \operatorname{accepts} w\}$

Are these recognizable? decidable?
$\{(a, b, c): a, b, c$ are binary strings representing integers $\& a+b=c\}$
$\left\{\left(a 1, a 2, \ldots, a_{n} \$ k \phi j\right): a_{i}^{\prime}\right.$ s are binary strings representing integers $\&$
$k_{1} j$ are indices between 1 to $n \&$
the $k$-th largest integer among $\left\{a_{i}\right\} s$ is $\left.a_{j}\right\}$
$\{(n, p, q): n, p, q$ are binary string representing integers $\&$ $n$ has some factor $f$ s.t. $p<=f<=q\}$

